

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/604,599	08/03/2003	Hung-Hui Ho	REAP0018USA	1598
27765 75	90 02/10/2006		EXAMINER LUU, MATTHEW	
	ERICA INTELLECTUAI	PROPERTY CORPORATION		
P.O. BOX 506 MERRIFIELD, VA 22116			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			3663	~-

DATE MAILED: 02/10/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/604,599	HO ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	LUU MATTHEW	3663	
The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addr	ess
Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI ratute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this comr BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05</u>	5 January 2006		
<u> </u>	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow		ters, prosecution as to the m	nerits is
closed in accordance with the practice unde	<u>.</u>	•	
Disposition of Claims		•	
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>21-40</u> is/are pending in the applica	ation		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	navvir irom consideration.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>21-40</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
<u> </u>	•		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a			
Applicant may not request that any objection to t	•	• •	4.4047.0
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	a Office Action of form PTO	-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received in A	Application No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p	riority documents have beer	received in this National St	age
application from the International Bure	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a I	list of the certified copies not	received.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Description Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No((s)/Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/		Informal Patent Application (PTO-1	52)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)	·	•

Art Unit: 3663

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 21-28, 30-38 and 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lee (US 2003/0234795) in view of Champion et al (6,774,953).

Regarding independent claims 21 and 31, Lee discloses (Fig. 3) a color conversion apparatus for converting an input color being in a first color space (Y, V, U) to an output color being in a second color space (R, G, B), wherein both the input color and the output color include a plurality of color elements, the apparatus comprising:

a first look-up table (LUT) (301) being coupled to a first color element (Y) of the input color for outputting a corresponding first converted color element;

a second LUT (303) being couple to a second color element (V) of the input color for outputting a corresponding second converted color element; and

an adder circuit (Adders- R, G and B) (311, 315 and 317) being coupled to the first LUT (301) and the second LUT (303) for summing the first converted color element and the second converted color element to thereby generate a color element of the output color (R). See sections 51-56.

Art Unit: 3663

The only difference between the disclosure of Lee and the claimed invention is that claims 21 and 31 require both of the input color and the output color are in RGB format, instead of (YVU) to (RGB) formats as taught by Lee.

However, Champion discloses (Fig. 2) a conventional color conversion apparatus for converting an input color being in a first color space (R', G', B') (709) to an output color being in a second color space (R' Laser, G' Laser, B' Laser), wherein both the input color and the output color are in (RGB) format.

Champion further discloses (Fig. 3) a first LUT (306), a second LUT (320), and an adder circuit (316) being used in the color conversion apparatus for converting the input color in (R',G', B') format to the output color in (R' Laser, G' Laser, B' Laser) format, wherein both the input color and the output color are in (RGB) format.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the RGB format conversion apparatus with the LUTs and the adder circuit of Fig. 3 of Champion, into the color conversion apparatus of Lee to provide a color conversion apparatus using the look-up-tables (LUTs) to significantly reduce the computation and memory requirements in the color transformation process, as suggested by Champion (Column 2, lines 58-61).

Furthermore, whether converting a (YUV) color format to a (RGB) format, or converting a (RGB) format to another (RGB) format, is an obvious design choice, since

Art Unit: 3663

it only depends on the desired types of color reproduction devices being used. For example, different types of color reproduction devices, such as CRT monitor or an LCD display device, will have different color-reproducing characteristics, called color spaces. Therefore, the input color space (RGB or YUV) is only dependent on the input source being used. And the output color space (RGB or YUV) is only dependent on the reproduction devices at the output.

Furthermore, Champion also discloses (Fig. 2) a well-known color conversion apparatus for converting an input color being in a first color space (R', G', B') (709) to an output color being in a second color space (R' Laser, G' Laser, B' Laser), wherein both the input color and the output color are in (RGB) format.

Regarding claims 22 and 32, note the rejection as set forth above with respect to claims 21 and 31.

Lee fails to teach a gamma correction circuit coupled to a third color element of the input color for generating a gamma corrected color element.

However, Champion discloses (Fig. 2) a gamma circuit (Gamma LUT, 208) coupled to all of three-color elements (R, G, B) for generating the gamma corrected color elements (R'L, G'L and B'L). See column 5, lines 13-15; and column 9, lines 50-52.

Art Unit: 3663

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use the gamma correction circuit (208) of the color conversion system of Champion into the color conversion system of Lee to provide the best quality output color elements for the best quality pictures.

Regarding claims 23 and 33, Lee discloses (Fig. 3) the adder circuit (311, 315 and 317) is further coupled to a third color element (U) to thereby generate a temporary color element (any of the R, G and B color components).

Regarding claims 24 and 34, Champion discloses (Fig. 2) a gamma circuit (Gamma LUT, 208) coupled to all of three color elements (R, G, B) for generating the gamma corrected color elements (R'Laser, G'Laser and B'Laser). See column 5, lines 13-15; and column 9, lines 50-52.

Regarding claims 25 and 35, Champion further discloses (Fig. 3) the first LUT (306) maps a plurality of values (308) for the first color element; and the second LUT (320) maps a plurality of values (322) for the second color element (Column 7, lines 17-60).

Regarding claims 26 and 36, Champion discloses (Fig. 4) the output value (second color space) for each element (R, G, B) is calculated using 8 values of the input color space (Column 5, lines 44-54).

Art Unit: 3663

Regarding claims 27-28 and 37-38, Champion discloses (Fig. 3) the LUT is indexed using a number of bits of the values of the first color space; The LUT is indexed using the five most significant bits of the values of the first color space (Column 5, lines 50-65).

Regarding claims 30 and 40, Lee discloses (Fig. 1) a LCD device (115).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 29 and 39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lee as applied to claims 21 and 31 above, and further in view of Acharya (6,694,061).

Lee fails to disclose a plurality of sub-tables.

However, Acharya teaches the LUTs that have three separate sub-tables (Column 6, lines 35-37).

It would have been obvious to the person of ordinary skill in the art to use the three sub-tables, one for each component, into the color conversion system Lee to provide an equal number of bits for each color components in order to facilitate the color conversion process.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 21-40 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

-Yoo et al (6,333,762) disclose (Figs. 10-12) a color conversion apparatus for converting an input color being in a first color space (R, G, B) to an output color being in a second color space (R, G, B), wherein both the input color and the output color are in (RGB) format.

-Tamagawa (6,522,778) discloses (Fig. 2) both the input color (R, G, B)and the output color are in (R0, G0, B0) format.

-Read (5,272,468) discloses (Fig. 2) a color conversion apparatus using color look-up-tables (LUTs).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LUU MATTHEW whose telephone number is (571) 272-7663. The examiner can normally be reached on Flexible Schedule.

Art Unit: 3663

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, JACK KEITH can be reached on (571) 272-7663. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

M. Luu

MATTHEW LUU PRIMARY EXAMINER